

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
Robert S. Strauss Tower
2001 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006

T +1 202.887.4000
F +1 202.887.4288
akingump.com

Akin

Raphael A. Prober
+1 202.887.4319/fax: +1 202.887.4288
rprober@akingump.com

CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT REQUESTED

November 15, 2023

The Honorable Elizabeth Warren
United States Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Richard J. Durbin
United States Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Mazie K. Hirono
United States Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Jeffrey A. Merkley
United States Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Richard Blumenthal
United States Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Ron Wyden
United States Senate
221 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C., 20510

The Honorable Bernard Sanders
United States Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Peter Welch
United States Senate
SR-124 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Cory A. Booker
United States Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Re: October 24, 2023 Letter to YesCare Corp. and Tehum Care Services, Inc.

Dear Senator Warren, Senator Durbin, Senator Hirono, Senator Merkley, Senator Blumenthal, Senator Wyden, Senator Sanders, Senator Welch and Senator Booker:

On behalf of YesCare Corp. ("YesCare"), and as discussed with staff, I write in response to your letter dated October 24, 2023 (the "Letter"), in which you raised questions related to the

November 15, 2023

Page 2

divisional merger transaction completed by Corizon Health, Inc. ("Corizon") and certain of its affiliates in 2022, and the subsequent chapter 11 filing by Tehum Care Services, Inc ("Tehum"). In this letter, we are providing responsive information to the extent information is available to YesCare. As discussed with staff, we understand that Tehum's counsel plans to submit a separate response that provides additional information specific to Tehum.

In the years leading up to the divisional merger transaction discussed in the Letter, Corizon's financial position had deteriorated due to a confluence of factors, including declining revenue, compressed margins driven by increased healthcare delivery costs resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic, substantial debt obligations, and continued exposure to liability extending from pending lawsuits. By late 2021, Corizon's financial situation had become dire. In May 2022, in an effort to create a viable ongoing (albeit, smaller) business that continued to provide critical services to its continuing clients and employing thousands of healthcare professionals while winding down the larger business structure that was associated with the legacy Corizon business, Corizon effectuated the divisional merger transaction, as permitted by Texas law. Pursuant to that merger transaction, Corizon (now, Tehum) retained its expired and inactive customer contracts as well as certain liabilities specified in the merger agreement, the majority of which related to the expired and inactive customer contracts. But Corizon also received certain benefits and assets in the transaction, including the release of nearly \$100 million in secured debt obligations that were allocated to CHS TX, Inc. (which was subsequently acquired by YesCare), the right to draw on a \$15 million funding agreement, and \$1 million in cash. CHS TX, Inc. (now, YesCare) also was allocated certain assets and liabilities related to employees and continuing customer contracts along with the nearly \$100 million in secured debt of Corizon, in the transaction.

While Tehum initially worked towards an out-of-court wind down of its business following the divisional merger, the liability it faced in several hundred pending lawsuits made doing so impossible. On February 13, 2023, Tehum filed its chapter 11 petition. The alternative would have produced inequitable results: those claimants who were able to obtain judgments and recover damages quickly would have depleted Tehum's assets, leaving other creditors unable to recover. Tehum's bankruptcy filing prevented that outcome by providing a single forum in which Tehum and its creditor constituencies could negotiate a fair settlement that maximized the recoveries available to all creditors, including individual litigation claimants. Under the settlement currently proposed by the *Debtor and Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors' Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan* (the "Plan")—which is supported by both Tehum and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee"), a fiduciary to all Tehum's unsecured creditors—the unsecured creditors will recover significantly more than they would have recovered in a liquidation scenario. Nonetheless, the unsecured creditors (including the holders of litigation claims against Tehum) are entitled to vote on the Plan and proposed

November 15, 2023

Page 3

settlement embodied therein. Those claimants also have the option of opting out of the settlement and continuing to pursue their claims against Tehum independent of the bankruptcy settlement.

Responses to Senate Questions

1. *Please provide a full description of YesCare and Tehum's leadership and stakeholder structure, as well as the leadership and ownership of all of the entities' parent companies, and YesCare's latest corporate governance plan. In your response, please include the identities of each natural person that directly or indirectly holds an equity interest in Perigrove 1018 LLC and/or YesCare Holdings LLC, and the size of the membership interest(s) held by that natural person.*

YesCare's executive leadership team includes the following individuals: Jeffrey Sholey, Chief Executive Officer; Jimmy Sprouse, Chief Financial Officer; Bill Carr, Chief Operating Officer; Scott King, Chief Legal Officer; Dr. Gregory Ladele, Chief Medical Officer. YesCare's clinical, operations, client services, and corporate field services departments include a number of individuals in leadership positions. YesCare's Bylaws, which cover matters related to YesCare's corporate governance, are attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

YesCare is wholly owned by YesCare Holdings, LLC. The ownership structure of YesCare Holdings, LLC is not information that is publicly available or known to YesCare.

Tehum is the appropriate party to provide information regarding its leadership and stakeholder structure.

2. *In a 2023 deposition, Tehum director Isaac Lefkowitz admitted to owning a stake in Perigrove, the private equity firm that took over Tehum. What role does Mr. Lefkowitz currently play within YesCare, Tehum, or any entities related to YesCare or Tehum?*

Isaac Lefkowitz serves as a director of YesCare but has no role at any other entities within YesCare's corporate structure. Mr. Lefkowitz also serves as a director of various entities with which YesCare has solely contractual relationships.

Tehum is the appropriate party to address Mr. Lefkowitz's role with Tehum and any of its related parties.

3. *How many claims against Corizon, Tehum, YesCare, or any affiliated entities were enjoined following Tehum's motion to extend and enforce the automatic stay?*

November 15, 2023

Page 4

- a. *The estimated number of claims that will be affected by Tehum's bankruptcy filing.*
- b. *The number of claims related to each of the following categories and the aggregate settlement amount for each: medical malpractice, employment, and contract breach.*

Tehum is the appropriate party to address these questions with respect to claims against Tehum and/or Corizon. Pursuant to the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas's order dated May 18, 2023, 15 lawsuits that name YesCare as a co-defendant were stayed.¹ YesCare is a non-debtor indemnified party in each of these lawsuits, which include various claims that are categorized in Exhibit 1 to the Bankruptcy Court's order.² One such lawsuit—*Hyman v. YesCare Corp.*, No. 3:22-cv-01081 (M.D. Tenn.)—has since been resolved and was voluntarily dismissed.

- c. *A list of all claims by creditors and the status of those claims.*

The claims register is available online, here: <https://www.kccllc.net/tehum/register>. The status of all the claims depends upon whether or not the Plan is confirmed and whether or not claimants opt out of the settlement and releases embodied therein. Tehum is the appropriate party to provide additional details regarding the status of claims filed in its chapter 11 case.

4. *Please provide a list of the entities and individuals that were involved in negotiating the global settlement filed September 29, 2023. In addition, please describe the role of Elizabeth Freeman in the negotiations, and list the individuals at YesCare and Tehum that were aware of Ms. Freeman's romantic relationship with Judge David Jones, who mediated the negotiations.*

YesCare's involvement in settlement discussions was limited to negotiations around the release provisions that affect YesCare and its officers and directors. The following entities and individuals were involved in negotiating the global settlement filed on September 29, 2023:

- Tehum Care Services, Inc.

¹ Order (I)(A) Declaring that the Automatic Stay Applies to Certain Claims and Causes of Action Asserted Against Certain Non-Debtors and (B) Extending the Automatic Stay to Certain Non-Debtors, or in the Alternative, (II) Preliminarily Enjoining Such Actions, *In re Tehum Care Services, Inc.*, Adv. Pro. No. 23-03049, Docket No. 43.

² *Id.* at Ex. 1.

November 15, 2023

Page 5

- Tehum's independent director, Russell Perry of Ankura Consulting Group, LLP, participated in the mediation.
- Gray Reed serves as bankruptcy counsel for Tehum. Gray Reed Partners Jason Brookner, Amber Carson, Aaron Kaufman, and Lydia Webb all participated in the mediation.
- The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
 - The Committee members are: Rachell Garwood (as a representative of a putative class), Latricia Revell, St. Luke's Health System, Ltd., Capital Region Medical Center, Maxim Healthcare Staffing Serv., Inc., Saint Alphonsus Health System, Inc., and Truman Medical Center, Inc. The members attended the mediation virtually. The Committee Chair, David Barton, participated in the mediation in person as the Committee representative.
 - Counsel to the Committee, Nicholas Zluticky and Zachary Hemenway, participated in the mediation.
- YesCare
 - Former counsel for YesCare, Elizabeth C. Freeman, participated in the mediation.
 - [REDACTED]
- M2 LoanCo, LLC, M2 Holdco, LLC, Perigrove 1018, LLC, Perigrove, LLC, Geneva Consulting, LLC, PharmaCorr, LLC
 - Melissa S. Hayward participated in the mediation as counsel for each of the foregoing entities.
 - Isaac Lefkowitz participated in the mediation as a representative of each of the foregoing entities.

Elizabeth Freeman's involvement in the mediation was minimal. No individuals from YesCare were aware of her referenced relationship with the mediator.

5. *With regard to Corizon's use of the divisional merger process to separate its assets from its liabilities:*

November 15, 2023

Page 6

- a. *What was the rationale for determining which assets it would transfer or assign to Tehum and which it would shield from the reach of creditors through YesCare?*

Pursuant to the divisional merger, Tehum was allocated certain assets that were not necessary to sustain a going concern business. The assets allocated to YesCare were related to its operation on a go-forward basis.

- b. *What was the total value of Corizon's assets at the time of the divisional merger?*

Tehum is the appropriate party to address the value of Corizon's assets at the time of the divisional merger.

- c. *Please list all of the assets that were transferred to YesCare/CHS TX, Inc. and their cumulative value (excluding any liens on the assets).*

The following assets were transferred to YesCare in connection with the divisional merger transaction: (i) 100% of the membership interests in the entity Corizon Health of New Mexico, LLC; (ii) various contracts, agreements, and leases of Corizon; (iii) all services agreements with any professional corporations or professional associations that correspond to any of the transferred agreements; (iv) any and all furniture, fixtures, equipment, inventory and all other assets (whether tangible or intangible) used in, or necessary to, the conduct of the business of Corizon, or the service of any transferred contracts (excluding contracts that remained with Corizon); (v) all assets of employee benefit plans of Corizon; (vi) all cash in Corizon's bank accounts, less \$1 million that remained in a Corizon, LLC bank account; (vii) all but two bank accounts; (viii) all trademarks, tradenames, domain names, trade secrets and other intellectual property relating to Corizon or Corizon Health of New Mexico, LLC; (ix) all receivables and other current assets of Corizon except to the extent such receivables and other current assets relate to assets to remain with Corizon; (x) copies of all books and records of Corizon to the extent relating to YesCare assets or liabilities; (xi) any owned real estate asset of Corizon; (xii) all claims or counterclaims against third parties to the extent relating to YesCare assets or liabilities; (xiii) all permits and licenses from the following regulatory agencies required or used to conduct the operations of Corizon in connection with the YesCare assets, including permits or licenses issued by EEOC, OSHA, State Boards of Pharmacy, DEA, NLRB, EPA, State Departments of Insurance, OCR, CLIA and DOL; (xiv) except for certain Arizona insurance policies (the "AZ Policies"), all insurance policies issued to Corizon or any of its affiliates and any other insurance policies under which Corizon or any of its affiliates are or may be entitled to rights or benefits as a named insured, insured, additional insured, successor, beneficiary or otherwise, and all rights to make claims under or in respect of such insurance

November 15, 2023

Page 7

policies, subject to certain exceptions; (xv) any recovery under the foregoing insurance policies of insurance proceeds for any YesCare liabilities or assets, net of any costs of recovery (including any legal fees or expenses), deductibles, retentions, premium adjustments, retroactively rated premiums or other self-insurance features paid by the remaining Corizon entity; (xvi) all rights to make claims under or in respect of the AZ Policies, subject to certain exceptions; (xvii) all cash collateral held by AIG for Corizon's workers' compensation program, which totaled approximately \$17,500,000 as of the effective date of the merger; and (xviii) all of Corizon's rights and interests in and with respect to Corizon Health Political Action Committee.

In exchange for these assets, YesCare also was transferred certain liabilities, including (i) a nearly \$100 million secured debt obligation; (ii) all lawsuits claims, liabilities, costs, expenses or losses arising from, related to, or in connection with the contracts YesCare received; (iii) any lawsuits, claims, liabilities, costs, expenses or losses arising from, related to, or in connection with employee benefits plans (including 401k plans and health insurance plans, but excluding any long term incentive plans) of Corizon; (iv) any lawsuits, claims, liabilities, costs, expenses or losses arising from, related to, or in connection with the employment of any officers or other employees YesCare, excluding under any long term incentive plans; (v) all other liabilities and obligations of every kind and character to the extent arising from, related to or in connection with the YesCare assets, whether arising before, at or after the merger's effective date, but excluding any liabilities to remain with Corizon; (vi) all liabilities and obligations under the AZ Policies and insurance policies transferred to YesCare for deductibles, retentions, premium adjustments, retroactively rated premiums or other self-insurance features incurred or paid on account of any YesCare liabilities or assets; and (vii) any settlement payment obligations of Corizon relating to lawsuits, claims, liabilities, costs, expenses, relating to or in connection with the contracts transferred to YesCare.

The cumulative value of these assets is not information that is publicly available.

- d. *Please list all of the liabilities that were transferred to Corizon, later Tehum, and their cumulative value.*

Tehum is the appropriate party to provide this information.

6. *Please list all of the assets that were transferred to affiliated entities other than YesCare/CHS TX, Inc. between December 1, 2021 and the date of Tehum's bankruptcy filing.*

Tehum is the appropriate party to provide this information.

November 15, 2023
Page 8

7. *What is the total value of YesCare's current assets?*

The total value of YesCare's current assets is not information that is publicly available.

8. *What is the total value of Tehum's current assets? Please include a full accounting of any funding agreement, lump sum payment, or other revenue stream provided to Tehum following the divisional merger process.*

Tehum is the appropriate party to provide information related to the value of its assets and an accounting of the funding agreement and any other revenue streams it received in connection with the divisional merger.

9. *Please describe in detail all actions taken to provide notice of Tehum's bankruptcy filing to known and potential creditors.*

It is our understanding that Tehum provided the required notices to all its creditors and parties in interest pursuant to the procedures prescribed by the Bankruptcy Code and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. This includes mailing and publication notices of the claims bar date, proof claim forms, notice of the disclosure statement and amended disclosure statement, and notice of all applicable hearings to date. The Master Service List in Tehum's chapter 11 case is available here: <https://www.kccllc.net/tehum/document/noticelist/1>

Tehum is the appropriate party to provide additional details regarding all actions taken to provide notice of Tehum's bankruptcy filing to known and potential creditors.

The information and data included in this response contains sensitive information—including confidential and proprietary information—and YesCare requests that such information be treated accordingly and that it not be released to any third parties. Production of this information and data is not intended to constitute a waiver of the attorney-client, attorney work product, or any other applicable rights or privileges in this or any other forum, and YesCare expressly reserves its rights in this regard.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Akin

November 15, 2023

Page 9

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R.A. Prober', with a stylized, cursive script.

Raphael A. Prober
Counsel for YesCare

Exhibit A

BYLAWS OF YESCARE CORP.

ARTICLE I OFFICES

Section 1.01 REGISTERED OFFICE AND AGENT. The registered office and registered agent of the Corporation shall be as set forth in the Corporation's Certificate of Formation. The registered office or registered agent may be changed by resolution of the Board of Directors, upon making the appropriate filing with the Secretary of State.

Section 1.02 PRINCIPAL OFFICE. The principal office of the Corporation shall be located at such place within or without the State of Texas as shall be fixed from time to time by the Board of Directors.

Section 1.03 OTHER OFFICES. The Corporation may also have other offices at any places, within or without the State of Texas, as the Board of Directors may designate, or as the business of the Corporation may require or as may be desirable.

Section 1.04 BOOKS AND RECORDS. All records maintained by the Corporation in the regular course of its business, including its share transfer ledger, books of account, and minute books, may be maintained in written paper form or another form capable of being converted to written paper form within a reasonable time. The Corporation shall convert any records so kept upon the request of any person entitled to inspect the records pursuant to applicable law.

ARTICLE II SHAREHOLDERS

Section 2.01 PLACE OF MEETING. All meetings of the shareholders shall be held either at the principal office of the Corporation or at any other place, either within or without the State of Texas, as shall be designated in the notice of the meeting or duly executed waiver of notice. The meeting may be held solely by means of remote communication as set out in Section 2.02 below.

Section 2.02 MEETINGS OF SHAREHOLDERS BY REMOTE COMMUNICATION. Subject to any guidelines and procedures adopted by the Board of Directors, shareholders not physically present at a shareholders' meeting may participate in the meeting by means of remote communication and may be considered present in person and may vote at the meeting, whether held at a designated place or solely by means of remote communication, subject to the conditions imposed by applicable law.

Section 2.03 ANNUAL MEETING. An annual meeting of shareholders shall be held on the date and time set by the Board of Directors and stated in the notice of the meeting for the

purpose of electing directors and transacting any other business as may be brought properly before the meeting.

Failure to hold the annual meeting at the designated time does not result in the winding up or termination of the Corporation. If the Board of Directors fails to call the annual meeting, any shareholder may make written demand to any officer of the Corporation that an annual meeting be held.

Section 2.04 SPECIAL SHAREHOLDERS' MEETINGS. Special meetings of the shareholders may be called by the President, the Board of Directors, or by the holders of at least ten percent (10%) of all the shares entitled to vote at the proposed special meeting. The record date for determining shareholders entitled to call a special meeting is the date the first shareholder signs the notice of that meeting. Only business within the purpose or purposes described in the notice or executed waiver of notice may be conducted at a special meeting of the shareholders.

Section 2.05 QUORUM OF SHAREHOLDERS. The presence in person or by proxy of the holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote constitutes a quorum for a meeting of the shareholders. Unless otherwise required by the Texas Business Organizations Code, the Certificate of Formation, or these Bylaws:

(a) The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented at a meeting at which a quorum is present shall be the act of the shareholders.

(b) The shareholders represented in person or by proxy at a meeting at which a quorum is present may conduct any business properly brought before the meeting until adjournment, and the subsequent withdrawal from the meeting of any shareholder or the refusal of any shareholder represented in person or by proxy to vote shall not affect the presence of a quorum at the meeting.

If a quorum is not present, the shareholders represented in person or by proxy may adjourn the meeting until a time and place determined by a vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy at that meeting. At such adjourned meeting at which the required number of voting shares shall be present or represented, any business may be transacted which might have been transacted at the meeting as originally noticed.

Section 2.06 CONDUCT OF MEETINGS. The Board of Directors may adopt by resolution rules and regulations for the conduct of meetings of the shareholders as it shall deem appropriate. At every meeting of the shareholders, the President, or in his or her absence or inability to act, the Vice President, or, in his or her absence or inability to act, a director or officer designated by the Board of Directors, shall act as chairman of, and preside at, the meeting. The Secretary or, in his or her absence or inability to act, the person whom the chairman of the meeting shall appoint secretary of the meeting, shall act as secretary of the meeting and keep the minutes thereof.

Section 2.07 VOTING OF SHARES. Each outstanding share, regardless of class or series, shall be entitled to one vote on each matter submitted to a vote at a meeting of shareholders, except to the extent that the Certificate of Formation provides for more or less than one vote per share or limits or denies voting rights to the holders of the shares of any class or series. Unless

otherwise required by the Texas Business Organizations Code, the Certificate of Formation, or these Bylaws, any matter, other than the election of directors, brought before any meeting of shareholders at which a quorum is present shall be decided by the affirmative vote of the holders of the majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the matter.

Unless otherwise required by the Certificate of Formation, the election of directors shall be decided by a plurality of the votes cast by the holders of shares entitled to vote in the election at a meeting of the shareholders at which a quorum is present.

Shareholders are prohibited from cumulating their votes in any election of directors of the Corporation.

Any vote may be taken by voice or show of hands unless a shareholder entitled to vote, either in person or by proxy, objects, in which case written ballots shall be used.

Section 2.08 WRITTEN CONSENT OF SHAREHOLDERS WITHOUT A MEETING. Any action required by the Texas Business Organizations Code to be taken at any annual or special meeting of shareholders, or any action which may be taken at any annual or special meeting of shareholders, may be taken without a meeting, without prior notice, and without a vote, if a consent or consents in writing, setting forth the action so taken, shall have been signed by the holder or holders of shares having not less than the minimum number of votes that would be necessary to take the action at a meeting at which holders of all shares entitled to vote on the action were present and voted. Prompt notice of the taking of any action by shareholders without a meeting by less than unanimous written consent shall be given to those shareholders who did not consent in writing to the action.

ARTICLE III DIRECTORS

Section 3.01 BOARD OF DIRECTORS. The business and affairs of the Corporation shall be managed under the direction of the Board of Directors of the Corporation. Directors need not be residents of the State of Texas or shareholders of the Corporation.

Section 3.02 NUMBER OF DIRECTORS. The number of directors shall be one (1), provided that the number may be increased or decreased from time to time by an amendment to these Bylaws or by resolution adopted by the Board of Directors. No decrease in the number of Directors shall have the effect of shortening the term of any incumbent director.

Section 3.03 TERM OF OFFICE. At the first annual meeting of shareholders and at each annual meeting thereafter, the holders of shares entitled to vote in the election of directors shall elect directors, each of whom shall hold office until a successor is duly elected and qualified or until the director's earlier death, resignation, disqualification, or removal.

Section 3.04 VACANCIES AND NEWLY CREATED DIRECTORSHIPS. Any vacancy occurring in the Board of Directors may be filled by election at an annual or special meeting of shareholders called for that purpose, or may be filled by the affirmative vote of a

majority of the remaining directors even when the majority of the remaining directors is less than a quorum of the total number of directors specified in the Certificate of Formation or the Bylaws. A director elected to fill a vacancy shall be elected for the unexpired term of his or her predecessor in office.

A directorship to be filled by reason of an increase in the number of directors may be filled by election at an annual or special meeting of shareholders called for that purpose, or may be filled by the Board of Directors for a term of office continuing only until the next election of one or more directors by the shareholders; provided that the Board of Directors may not fill more than two (2) directorships during the period between any two (2) successive annual meetings of shareholders.

Section 3.05 REMOVAL. Any director or the entire Board of Directors may be removed, with or without cause, by a vote of the holders of a majority of the shares then entitled to vote at an election of the director or directors, at any meeting of shareholders called expressly for that purpose.

Section 3.06 RESIGNATION. A director may resign by providing written notice to the Corporation. The resignation shall be effective upon the later of the date of receipt of the notice of resignation or the effective date specified in the notice. Acceptance of the resignation shall not be required to make the resignation effective.

Section 3.07 REGULAR MEETINGS OF DIRECTORS. A regular meeting of the newly-elected Board of Directors shall be held without other notice immediately following each annual meeting of shareholders, at which the board shall elect officers and transact any other business as shall come before the meeting. The board may designate a time and place for additional regular meetings, by resolution, without notice other than the resolution.

Section 3.08 SPECIAL MEETINGS OF DIRECTORS. The Chairman may call a special meeting of the Board of Directors at a time or place determined by the Chairman. The Chairman shall call a special meeting at the written request of two (2) or more directors.

Section 3.09 NOTICE OF DIRECTORS' MEETINGS. All special meetings of the Board of Directors shall be held upon not less than three (3) days' written notice stating the date, place, hour, and purpose of the meeting delivered to each director either personally or by mail. Notice of a regular or special meeting of the Board of Directors may be provided to a director by electronic transmission on consent of the director. The director may specify the form of electronic transmission to be used to communicate notice.

A written waiver of the required notice signed by a director entitled to the notice, before or after the meeting, is the equivalent of giving notice to the director who signs the waiver. A director's attendance at any meeting shall constitute a waiver of notice of the meeting, except where the directors attends a meeting for the express purpose of objecting to the transaction of any business on the grounds that the meeting is not lawfully called or convened.

Section 3.10 QUORUM AND ACTION BY DIRECTORS. A majority of the number of directors shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. The act of the majority of the directors present at a meeting at which a quorum is present at the time of the act shall be the act of the Board of Directors, unless the act of a greater number is required by law, the Certificate of

Formation, or these Bylaws. The directors at a meeting for which a quorum is not present may adjourn the meeting until a time and place as may be determined by a vote of the directors present at that meeting.

Section 3.11 COMPENSATION. Directors, as such, shall not receive any stated salary for their services, but by resolution of the Board of Directors a fixed sum and expenses of attendance, if any, may be allowed for attendance at any meeting of the Board of Directors or committee thereof. A director shall not be precluded from serving the Corporation in any other capacity and receiving compensation for services in that capacity.

Section 3.12 ACTION BY DIRECTORS WITHOUT A MEETING. Unless otherwise restricted by the Certificate of Formation or these Bylaws, any action required or permitted to be taken at a meeting of the Board of Directors or any committee may be taken without a meeting if all members of the Board of Directors or committee consent in writing or by electronic transmission and the writings or electronic transmissions are filed with the minutes of the proceedings of the Board of Directors.

ARTICLE IV OFFICERS

Section 4.01 POSITIONS AND ELECTION. The officers of the Corporation shall be elected by the Board of Directors and shall be a President and a Secretary and any other officers, including assistant officers and agents, as may be deemed necessary by the Board of Directors. Any two (2) or more offices may be held by the same person.

Each officer shall serve until a successor is elected and qualified or until the earlier death, resignation, or removal of that officer. Vacancies or new offices shall be filled at the next regular or special meeting of the Board of Directors.

Section 4.02 REMOVAL. Any officer elected or appointed by the Board of Directors may be removed with or without cause by the affirmative vote of the majority of the Board of Directors. Removal shall be without prejudice to the contract rights, if any, of the officer so removed.

Section 4.03 POWERS AND DUTIES OF OFFICERS. The powers and duties of the officers of the Corporation shall be as provided from time to time by resolution of the Board of Directors or by direction of an officer authorized by the Board of Directors to prescribe the duties of other officers. In the absence of such resolution, the respective officers shall have the powers and shall discharge the duties customarily and usually held and performed by like officers of corporations similar in organization and business purposes to the Corporation, subject to the control of the Board of Directors.

Section 4.04 AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE AGREEMENTS. All agreements of the Corporation shall be executed on behalf of the Corporation by (a) the President or any Vice President, (b) such other officer or employee of the Corporation authorized in writing by the President, with such limitations or restrictions on such authority as the President deems appropriate or (c) such other person as may be authorized by the Board of Directors.

ARTICLE V SHARE CERTIFICATES AND TRANSFER

Section 5.01 CERTIFICATES REPRESENTING SHARES NOT REQUIRED.

The Corporation shall not be required to deliver certificates representing all shares to which shareholders are entitled, and any uncertificated shares may be evidenced by a book-entry system maintained by the registrar of the shares.

The Corporation shall, after the issuance or transfer of uncertificated shares, send to the registered owner of uncertificated shares a written notice containing the information required to be set forth or stated on certificates pursuant to the Texas Business Organizations Code. Except as otherwise expressly provided by law, the rights and obligations of the holders of uncertificated shares and the rights and obligations of the holders of certificates representing shares of the same class and series shall be identical. No share shall be issued until the consideration therefor, fixed as provided by law, has been fully paid.

Section 5.02 TRANSFERS OF SHARES. Shares of the Corporation shall be transferable in the manner prescribed by law and in these Bylaws. Transfers of shares shall be made on the books of the Corporation only by the holder of record thereof or by such other person as may under law be authorized to endorse such shares for transfer or by such shareholder's attorney lawfully constituted in writing. No transfer of shares shall be valid as against the Corporation for any purpose until it shall have been entered in the share transfer records of the Corporation by an entry showing from and to what person those shares were transferred.

Section 5.03 REGISTERED SHAREHOLDERS. The Corporation shall be entitled to treat the holder of record of any shares issued by the Corporation as the holder in fact thereof for all purposes, including voting those shares, receiving dividends or distributions thereon or notices in respect thereof, transferring those shares, exercising rights of dissent with respect to those shares, exercising or waiving any preemptive right with respect to those shares, entering into agreements with respect to those shares in accordance with Texas law, or giving proxies with respect to those shares.

Neither the Corporation nor any of its officers, directors, employees, or agents shall be liable for regarding that person as the owner of those shares at that time for those purposes, regardless of whether that person possesses a certificate for those shares, and shall not be bound to recognize any equitable or other claim to or interest in such share or shares on the part of any other person, whether or not it shall have express or other notice of such claim or interest, except as otherwise provided by Texas law.

ARTICLE VI INDEMNIFICATION

Section 6.01 INDEMNIFICATION OF EXISTING AND FORMER DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS. The Corporation shall indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending, or completed action or other proceeding (whether civil, criminal, administrative, arbitrative, or investigative), including any appeal thereof,

or any inquiry or investigation that could lead to such an action or proceeding (any of the foregoing to be referred to hereafter as a "**proceeding**") by reason of the fact that the person (1) is or was a director or officer of the Corporation; or (2) while a director of the Corporation, is or was serving at the request of the Corporation as a partner, director, officer, venturer, proprietor, trustee, employee, administrator, or agent of another entity, organization, or an employee benefit plan (each such person in (2) to be referred to hereafter as a "**delegate**" and, together with each such person in (1), a "**covered person**") to the fullest extent permitted by the Texas Business Organizations Code (as the same now exists or may hereafter be amended, substituted, or replaced, the "**BOC**"), but, if the BOC is amended, substituted, or replaced, only to the extent that such amendment, substitution, or replacement permits the Corporation to provide broader indemnification rights than the BOC permitted the Corporation to provide prior to such amendment, substitution, or replacement, against all judgments (including arbitration awards), court costs, penalties, settlements, fines, excise, and other similar taxes and reasonable attorneys' fees (all of the foregoing to be referred to hereafter as "**expenses**") actually incurred by the covered person in connection with such proceeding. The right to indemnification in this Section 6.01 shall continue as to a covered person who has ceased to be a director, officer, or delegate and shall inure to his or her heirs, executors, or administrators.

Section 6.02 ADVANCEMENT OF EXPENSES. The Corporation shall pay or reimburse reasonable expenses incurred by a covered person currently serving as a director, officer, or delegate of the Corporation who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any proceeding in advance of the final disposition of the proceeding, without any determination as to the covered person's entitlement to indemnification, if the Corporation receives the following before any such advancement of expenses:

(a) A written affirmation by the covered person of the covered person's good faith belief that he or she has met the standard of conduct necessary for indemnification under the BOC.

(b) A written undertaking by or on behalf of the covered person to repay the amount so advanced if the final determination is that the covered person has not met the required standard of conduct set forth in the BOC or that indemnification is prohibited by the BOC.

Section 6.03 INDEMNIFICATION OF AND ADVANCEMENT OF EXPENSES TO OTHER PERSONS. Notwithstanding any other provision of this ARTICLE VI, the Corporation may indemnify and advance expenses to persons other than covered persons, including advisory directors, non-executive officers, employees, and agents of the Corporation, to the extent and in the manner provided by the BOC and these Bylaws.

Section 6.04 INDEMNIFICATION RIGHTS NOT EXCLUSIVE. The rights provided pursuant to this ARTICLE VI shall not be exclusive of any other rights to which a person may be entitled by applicable law, the Corporation's Certificate of Formation, action or resolution of the Corporation's shareholders or disinterested directors, or contract.

Section 6.05 INSURANCE. The Corporation may purchase and maintain insurance or another arrangement to indemnify any covered person against any liability asserted against and

incurred by the covered person in that capacity or arising out of the covered person's status in that capacity, regardless of whether the Corporation would have the power to indemnify the covered person against that liability under applicable law.

Section 6.06 REPORTS OF INDEMNIFICATION AND ADVANCES. No later than one (1) year from the date that the Corporation indemnifies or advances expenses to a director, it shall give a written report of such indemnification or advancement to the shareholders, which report must be made with or before the notice or waiver of notice of the next shareholders' meeting or the next submission to the shareholders of a written consent without a meeting.

ARTICLE VII MISCELLANEOUS

Section 7.01 SEAL. The Corporation may adopt a corporate seal in a form approved by the Board of Directors. The Corporation shall not be required to use the corporate seal and the lack of the corporate seal shall not affect an otherwise valid contract or other instrument executed by the Corporation.

Section 7.02 CHECKS, DRAFTS, ETC. All checks, drafts, or other instruments for payment of money or notes of the Corporation shall be signed by an officer or officers or any other person or persons as shall be determined from time to time by resolution of the Board of Directors.


Section 7.03 FISCAL YEAR. The fiscal year of the Corporation shall be as determined by the Board of Directors.

Section 7.04 INVALID PROVISIONS. If any one or more of the provisions of these Bylaws, or the applicability of any provision to a specific situation, shall be held invalid or unenforceable, the provision shall be modified to the minimum extent necessary to make it or its application valid and enforceable, and the validity and enforceability of all other provisions of these Bylaws and all other applications of any provision shall not be affected thereby.

Section 7.05 CONFLICT WITH APPLICABLE LAW OR CERTIFICATE OF FORMATION. These Bylaws are adopted subject to any applicable law and the Certificate of Formation. Whenever these Bylaws may conflict with any applicable law or the Certificate of Formation, such conflict shall be resolved in favor of such law or the Certificate of Formation.

ARTICLE VIII AMENDMENT OF BYLAWS

Section 8.01 AMENDMENT OF BYLAWS. The Board of Directors may amend, alter, change, and repeal these Bylaws or adopt new bylaws. The shareholders may make additional bylaws and may alter and repeal any bylaws whether such bylaws were originally adopted by them or otherwise.



GRAY REED

JASON S. BROOKNER
D: 713-986-7000
469-320-6132
jbrookner@grayreed.com

DALLAS | HOUSTON | WACO

CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT REQUESTED

November 15, 2023

The Honorable Elizabeth Warren
United States Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Mazie Hirono
United States Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Richard Blumenthal
United States Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Bernard Sanders
United States Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building,
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Cory A. Booker
United States Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Richard J. Durbin
United States Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Jeffrey A. Merkley
United States Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Ron Wyden
United States Senate
221 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C., 20510

The Honorable Peter Welch
United States Senate
SR-124 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Re: October 24, 2023, Letter to YesCare Corporation and Tehum Care Services, Inc.

Dear Senator Warren, Senator Durbin, Senator Hirono, Senator Merkley, Senator Blumenthal, Senator Wyden, Senator Sanders, Senator Welch, and Senator Booker:

On behalf of Tehum Care Services, Inc. (“TCS,” “Tehum,” or the “Debtor”), this letter responds to yours of October 24, 2023 (the “Letter”), in which you raised questions relating to

Senators Warren, Durbin, Hirono, Merkley, Blumenthal,
Wyden, Sanders, Welch, and Booker
November 15, 2023
Page 2

Corizon Health, Inc.'s 2022 divisional merger and TCS's subsequent chapter 11 filing. We provide information herein responsive to your Letter to the extent such is available to TCS. Our understanding is that YesCare will be submitting its own response to your Letter (via counsel) that provides additional information specific to YesCare.

As you know, TCS filed for chapter 11 on February 13, 2023, in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas Houston Division (the "Bankruptcy Court"), under Case No. 23-90086 (the "Chapter 11 Case"). The Honorable Christopher M. López is presiding over the Chapter 11 Case.

The Office of the United States Trustee (the "U.S. Trustee"), an arm of the Department of Justice, appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") in the Chapter 11 Case. An official committee's charge is to act as a fiduciary and represent the interests of all unsecured creditors in a chapter 11 case. Here, the Committee's membership is diverse and includes two individuals who assert personal injury claims based on alleged inadequate care provided by Corizon prior to the divisional merger.

On October 27, 2023, TCS and the Committee filed their Second Amended Disclosure Statement [Chapter 11 Case Docket No. 1071] (the "Disclosure Statement") accompanying their Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan [Chapter 11 Case Docket No. 1072] (the "Joint Plan"), which embody a global settlement reached at mediation over three days in August 2023 (the "Global Settlement"). For your convenience and reference, a copy of the Disclosure Statement (to which the Joint Plan is an exhibit) is enclosed herewith. The docket of the Chapter 11 Case and two related adversary proceedings are also available for free at our claims agent's website (<https://www.kccllc.net/Tehum>) should you wish to peruse the filings in the Chapter 11 Case. Although many of the questions in your Letter are answered in the Disclosure Statement, we nonetheless attempt herein to provide fulsome responses to your inquiries.

Before answering your specific questions directly, we want to address the introductory paragraphs of your Letter. First, as will be discussed more fully below, all creditors and potential creditors have received (among other things) notice of the Chapter 11 Case and notice of the last date to file claims against TCS. Following approval of the Disclosure Statement, all creditors will also receive a copy of the Disclosure Statement, the Joint Plan and—if appropriate under the terms of our Joint Plan—a Ballot and and/or an Opt-Out Form. Such notice will be provided as required by Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3017. TCS has abided, and will continue to abide, by its duties as a debtor in possession and has complied, and will continue to comply, with each applicable Bankruptcy Rule and each applicable provision of the Bankruptcy Code. And if there is any lapse in compliance (which there has not been and which we do not anticipate will be the case), then either the Committee, the U.S. Trustee, the active creditors in the case, or a combination of those parties, will bring the matter to the Debtor's attention and as necessary, to the attention of the Bankruptcy Court.

Second, TCS believes it is using the bankruptcy process exactly for its intended purpose: to marshal and liquidate the Debtor's available assets and causes of action against third parties, maximize the value of such assets and causes of action, and ratably and equitably distribute the

proceeds of such assets and causes of action to creditors holding valid claims against TCS. Outside of chapter 11, as you know, each creditor (many of whom are incarcerated and without easy access to counsel or the court systems) would be left to his or her own devices in the proverbial “race to the courthouse,” facing years of expensive litigation with an uncertain outcome, and potentially with conflicting, varying and inconsistent results among various federal and state courts throughout the country. The collective nature of the chapter 11 process is the best mechanism to centralize all claims and disputes against TCS, through oversight by the Bankruptcy Court, review by the U.S. Trustee, and the participation and input of the Committee and all other creditors, many of whom have been active throughout the chapter 11 process, individually and through counsel.

The job of a committee in chapter 11 is to act as a fiduciary for all unsecured creditors and represent their collective interests as a group. Here, the Committee’s composition of seven creditors is a cross-section of claim holders: five Committee members are trade creditors, and two Committee members are formerly incarcerated personal injury claimants. The Committee and its counsel have been extremely active in the Chapter 11 Case and, in tandem with counsel to TCS, have spent several months investigating TCS, YesCare, other entities, and the circumstances surrounding the divisional merger. After months of investigations and a subsequent mediation sanctioned by the Bankruptcy Court, the parties reached an agreement to resolve the bankruptcy estate’s claims against various third parties (including YesCare). The Global Settlement has been incorporated into—and forms the basis for—the Joint Plan. The initial three-day mediation in August was conducted by Judge David R. Jones, who has since resigned his position.

We are aware of the concerns that have been raised in the Chapter 11 Case due to the undisclosed relationship between Judge Jones and the Houston attorney who had been representing YesCare. As a result, in order to maintain the integrity of the process and ensure no questions remain looming over the Global Settlement, the Debtor, the Committee, and the other settling parties are preparing to embark upon a second mediation on November 27, 2023, with former Chief U.S. Bankruptcy Judge Christopher S. Sontchi (Bankr. D. Del.) as mediator. A copy of the stipulation that was just signed today appointing Judge Sontchi [Chapter 11 Case Docket No. 1109], is also enclosed for your convenience.

Finally, it is worth noting the TCS case is manifestly different from the other pending divisional merger chapter 11 cases, such as 3M, Johnson & Johnson and others: unlike those cases where there are tens of thousands of claimants and MDL panels for the various personal injury lawsuits, here there are only several hundred pending lawsuits and no singular MDL or similar forum in which to pursue recoveries against TCS. Unlike the other divisional merger cases, where illness from direct or indirect exposure to asbestos or talc or other substances could take years or decades to manifest, the claims here are for medical malpractice or insufficient treatment or the like and presumably now—more than 18 months after Corizon ceased operating—are all known. Unlike the other divisional merger cases where there is a funding agreement and other assets that are sufficient to pay claims in full, the funding agreement here was limited to \$15 million and has been exhausted. There are also other differences between the TCS Chapter 11 Case and the mass tort divisional merger cases, placing the TCS case in a far different category.

General Background and History

The Debtor was formerly known as Corizon Health, Inc. and will be referred to as “Corizon” for events occurring prior to the May 5, 2022, divisional merger (the “Divisional Merger”).

Corizon was a nationwide provider of correctional healthcare, providing services in multiple states across the United States. In the ordinary course of its business, Corizon entered into agreements with various (typically governmental) entities under which Corizon would provide, or arrange for the provision of, healthcare services to certain inmates or detainees of the contract counterparty.

For most of its history until the mid-2010s, Corizon’s business was financially successful. Near the end of the decade, however, the company began to struggle due to the loss of key customer contracts and mounting liabilities, largely driven by claims asserted by incarcerated individuals alleging mistreatment or inadequate healthcare. As a result of Corizon’s dramatic decline in revenues, increase in asserted tort liabilities, and the impending maturity of its secured debt, it began to market itself for potential acquisition by companies interested in “distressed” investments.

In June 2020, the Flacks Group acquired Corizon. Upon information and belief, the Flacks Group acquired Corizon’s operations and its existing debt for approximately \$10 million. For the sake of clarity, the Debtor and the Committee do not believe, based on their extensive investigations, that there is any relationship or connection between the Flacks Group and Perigrove (discussed below).

The Flacks Group was unsuccessful in its efforts to improve the company’s financial performance or prevent its further decline. By the third quarter of 2021, Corizon’s business was struggling even more than when the Flacks Group had acquired it. The company had lost its three largest contracts and was facing millions of dollars in tort and contract liabilities stemming from alleged inadequate care at the facilities it served and the impact of its dwindling revenues on performance of obligations.

Although Corizon’s revenues had continued to decline, the Flacks Group seemed to view Corizon’s pharmacy subsidiary—an entity called PharmaCorr, LLC (“PharmaCorr”)—as a potentially profitable standalone business. The Flacks Group effectuated a series of transactions designed to split off and sell PharmaCorr, then file bankruptcy cases for Corizon and its related entities.¹ In late November and early December 2021, just a few weeks before the Flacks Group had planned to file those bankruptcy cases, members of the Flacks Group were introduced to Isaac Lefkowitz and other investors as potential buyers for PharmaCorr.

¹ The Committee believes the Debtor’s estate may have claims against the Flacks Group and Michael Flacks related to its spin-off of PharmaCorr. Those claims, if any, are not intended to be released as part of the Global Settlement or the Joint Plan.

After approximately a week of negotiations, Mr. Lefkowitz and the other investors in an entity called Perigrove 1018, LLC ("Perigrove 1018"), acquired the entire portfolio of companies from the Flacks Group. Rather than directly acquiring the operating companies or M2 LoanCo and M2 HoldCo, Perigrove 1018 acquired the entirety of the Corizon operation.

As of December 7, 2021, Perigrove 1018 owned or controlled Corizon and all its owners and affiliates, including: (1) M2 HoldCo, LLC, which itself owned M2 EquityCo, LLC and M2 LoanCo, LLC (2) Valitas Intermediate Holdings, Inc., which itself owned Valitas Health Services, Inc., Corizon Health, Inc., Corizon Health of New Jersey, LLC, and Corizon, LLC; and (3) M2/PharmaCorr Holdings, LLC, which owned PharmaCorr.

In May 2022, the Debtor and several affiliates, including Corizon, LLC, Valitas Health, and Corizon Health of New Jersey, LLC (collectively, the "Merger Entities") executed a corporate reorganization through two merger transactions under the Texas Business and Organization Code ("TBOC"): first, a combination merger, whereby the Merger Entities merged in a combination merger, and then the Divisional Merger whereby CHS TX, Inc. ("CHS") was formed and various assets and liabilities were allocated to CHS on the one hand and TCS on the other. In connection with the Divisional Merger, M2 LoanCo and TCS agreed to a funding agreement (the "Funding Agreement") pursuant to which M2 LoanCo would pay or cause to be paid funding to TCS up to an aggregate cap of \$15 million for payment of TCS's costs of operations and certain liabilities that arose prior to the Divisional Merger.

Pursuant to the Divisional Merger, TCS remained in existence and was allocated and remained vested with all inactive and expired customer contracts, as well as all historical liabilities related to such contracts. In return, TCS was released from its secured debt obligations to M2 LoanCo, which were allocated to the entity that became YesCare. As part of the Divisional Merger, TCS was also allocated \$1 million in cash, as well as the right to draw on the \$15 million Funding Agreement.

Upon the Divisional Merger, TCS ceased to be an operating entity with active contracts or medical service providers. Though TCS had been allocated cash, rights under the Funding Agreement, and rights under available insurance policies, its liabilities exceeded these assets. Between May 2022 and February 2023, TCS attempted to wind down its remaining business and resolve its liabilities out of court.

The Debtor and the Committee each investigated whether TCS received the full benefit of the \$15 million allocated to it under the Funding Agreement to satisfy claims. The Debtor and Committee have reviewed extensive documentation produced in the litigation to verify these payments. According to these records, the Debtor and the Committee have confirmed that M2 LoanCo advanced at least \$15 million to legitimate third party creditors to satisfy liabilities allocated to TCS under the Divisional Merger. M2 LoanCo asserts that it advanced a total of \$39 million, leaving an outstanding balance of approximately \$24 million owing back to M2 LoanCo.


Despite the above, amounts available under the Funding Agreement or otherwise advanced by M2 LoanCo proved insufficient for TCS to satisfy its liabilities under the Divisional Merger.

Thus, TCS concluded a chapter 11 process was necessary to effectuate a more equitable distribution of its remaining assets. The Chapter 11 Case was commenced on February 13, 2023.

Responses to Specific Inquiries

1. Please provide a full description of YesCare and Tehum's leadership and stakeholder structure, as well as the leadership and ownership of all of the entities' parent companies, and YesCare's latest corporate governance plan. In your response, please include the identities of each natural person that directly or indirectly holds an equity interest in Perigrove 1018 LLC and/or YesCare Holdings LLC, and the size of the membership interest(s) held by that natural person.

A corporate structure chart showing Corizon's ownership pre-Divisional Merger is as follows:



This organizational chart remained unchanged following the Divisional Merger, other than the Merger Entities (*i.e.*, Corizon, LLC, Valitas Health, and Corizon Health of New Jersey, LLC) merged into Corizon Health, Inc., and that entity was renamed TCS.

Following the Divisional Merger, Isaac Lefkowitz became the sole director of TCS, and TCS had no officers until February 13, 2023, when Russell Perry of Ankura Consulting Group LLC was appointed as Chief Restructuring Officer. Pursuant to the corporate resolutions attached to TCS's chapter 11 bankruptcy petition (also enclosed for convenience), Mr. Perry has sole decision-making authority over all restructuring matters, and any matters where Mr. Lefkowitz has a conflict of interest (which includes all matters involving the Divisional Merger, YesCare, Perigrove 1018 or the other related entities).

We believe that Perigrove 1018, LLC is a private equity fund owned by several individuals, none of whom owns more than 10% of the company. Isaac Lefkowitz is one of the investors in Perigrove 1018. Additional information regarding Perigrove 1018's ownership structure is not publicly available.

YesCare is the proper party to provide information regarding its leadership and stakeholder structure.

2. In a 2023 deposition, Tehum director Isaac Lefkowitz admitted to owning a stake in Perigrove, the private equity firm that took over Tehum. What role does Mr. Lefkowitz currently play within YesCare, Tehum, or any entities related to YesCare or Tehum?

As stated above, Mr. Lefkowitz serves as the sole director of the Debtor. According to Mr. Lefkowitz, following Perigrove's 1018's December 2021 acquisition of Corizon (now TCS), and until TCS's bankruptcy filing on February 13, 2023, he oversaw every aspect of Corizon's operations and finances. As also stated above, Russell Perry of Ankura Consulting Group LLC serves as the Debtor's Chief Restructuring Officer, with sole authority for all restructuring matters and any matters where a conflict of interest may exist.²

YesCare is the proper party to provide information regarding Mr. Lefkowitz's at YesCare.

3. How many claims against Corizon, Tehum, YesCare, or any affiliated entities were enjoined following Tehum's motion to extend and enforce the automatic stay?

On March 3, 2023, the Bankruptcy Court entered its *Order Regarding Debtor's Emergency Motion to Extend and Enforce the Automatic Stay* [Chapter 11 Case Docket No. 118] (the "March 3 Stay Order"). According Exhibit 1 to the March 3 Stay Order, the extended stay applied to 39 separate lawsuits through May 18, 2023.³ On March 23, 2023, the Debtor commenced Adversary Proceeding No. 23-3049 in the Bankruptcy Court (the "Adversary") and filed an emergency motion in the Adversary seeking to further extend the stay previously granted by the Bankruptcy Court.⁴ On May 18, 2023, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order extending the stay as to 34

² See Tehum Care Services Bankruptcy Petition [Chapter 11 Case Docket No. 1]; see also Disclosure Statement, Schedule 4 (pages 78–79 of 177).

³ The list includes claims that were not yet lawsuits, as well as singular claims filed in multiple venues.

⁴ See *Complaint Seeking (I)(A) a Declaratory Judgment that the Automatic Stay Applies to Certain Claims and Causes of Action Asserted Against Certain Non-Debtors and (B) an Extension of the Automatic Stay to Certain Non-Debtors*,

lawsuits until August 10, 2023.⁵ The Debtor subsequently entered into stipulations with certain plaintiffs, allowing them to proceed with their litigation under the circumstances set forth in the stipulations.⁶

a. *The estimated number of claims that will be affected by Tehum's bankruptcy filing.*

The various prepetition lawsuits and claims asserted against TCS generally fall into three categories: (a) vendor and service provider lawsuits or obligations, typically asserting breach of contract claims for unpaid invoices; (b) professional liability lawsuits or obligations, typically asserting medical malpractice and related claims; and (c) employment lawsuits or obligations, asserting employment discrimination or similar claims.

The Debtor's claims and noticing agent maintains a website (<https://www.kccllc.net/Tehum>), which shows that 742 claims have been filed against the Debtor, many of which are duplicates. We have conducted a preliminary analysis of these claims in order to classify them for treatment under the Joint Plan, which has revealed that about half (approximately 220 claims) are "Class 4 Non-Personal Injury Claims" and the other half (approximately 224 claims) are "Class 5 Personal Injury Claims."

The Joint Plan is attached as an exhibit to the Disclosure Statement. As required by the Bankruptcy Code and prevailing case law, the Disclosure Statement (among other things) summarizes the Joint Plan, the treatment of each class of claims thereunder and the expected/potential recoveries to each class of claims. The Disclosure Statement further contains a liquidation analysis (pursuant to section 1129(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code, also known as the "best interests test") to show that the Joint Plan will provide a greater distribution to creditors than they would receive if the Debtor was liquidated under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The best interests test is discussed at page 4 of the Disclosure Statement, and the liquidation analysis is annexed to the Disclosure Statement as Schedule 1. Pages iv and v of the Disclosure Statement also summarize the options afforded to holders of personal injury claims and general unsecured claims. As reflected therein, the Debtor and the Committee estimate that holders of non-personal injury claims could receive a recovery of between 19.9% and 35.3% on their claims under the Joint Plan, depending upon the final amount of all claims that are ultimately allowed. The Debtor and the Committee also estimate that personal injury claimants could receive a

or in the Alternative, (II) a Preliminary Injunction Related to Such Actions, In re Tehum Care Services, Inc. [Adversary Docket No. 1]; and *Debtor's Motion for an Order (I)(A) Declaring that the Automatic Stay Applies to Certain Claims and Causes of Action Asserted Against Certain Non-Debtors and (B) Extending the Automatic Stay to Certain Non-Debtors, or in the Alternative, (II) Preliminarily Enjoining Such Actions, In re Tehum Care Services, Inc.* [Adversary Docket No. 2].

⁵ *Order (I)(A) Declaring that the Automatic Stay Applies to Certain Claims and Causes of Action Asserted Against Certain Non-Debtors and (B) Extending the Automatic Stay to Certain Non-Debtors, or in the Alternative, (II) Preliminarily Enjoining Such Actions, In re Tehum Care Services, Inc.* [Adversary Docket No. 43].

⁶ See, e.g., Chapter 11 Case Docket Nos. 237, 463 & 578; Adversary Docket Nos. 41 & 68.

recovery of between 18.1% and 37.7% on their claims under the Joint Plan, depending on ERC funding, insurance payouts and potential payments from third parties.

The Joint Plan contains seven classes of claims and interests, which are discussed in greater detail in Section IV of the Disclosure Statement (pages 23-28), but can be summarized as follows:

- Holders of claims in Classes 1 are priority creditors and will be paid in full upon the allowance of such claims. Pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code, these creditors do not get to vote to accept or reject the Joint Plan because they are unimpaired and deemed to accept the Joint Plan.
- Holders of claims in Class 2 are secured creditors and will be paid in full upon the allowance of such claims. Pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code, these creditors do not get to vote to accept or reject the Joint Plan because they are unimpaired and deemed to accept the Joint Plan.
- Holders of claims in Classes 3 are "Convenience Claims" in the amount of \$5,000 or less, and the Joint Plan will pay these claims in full within 30 days of the Joint Plan becoming effective. While these creditors will be made whole, they are still impaired under the Bankruptcy Code, and so such creditors may cast votes to accept or reject the Joint Plan.
- Classes 4 and 5 are impaired by the Joint Plan and will be allowed to vote to accept or reject the Joint Plan. The recoveries to creditors in these classes is discussed above.
- Holders of claims in Class 6 are "Indemnification Claims," which include co-defendants and other third parties who claim a right to reimbursement or indemnification from the Debtor. These claims are impaired and entitled to vote to accept or reject the Joint Plan. Claims in this will be treated either as personal injury or non-personal injury claims, depending upon the underlying claim from which the indemnification claim arose, subject to the requirements of section 509 of the Bankruptcy Code, and as described more fully at page 27 of the Disclosure Statement.
- Class 7 is comprised of equity interests in the Debtor, which will be cancelled upon the effective date of the Joint Plan. Pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code, because holders of equity interests will neither receive nor retain any property under the Joint Plan, they are deemed to reject the plan and are not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Joint Plan.

The Joint Plan also offers creditors in Classes 4 and 5 the option for a one-time settlement and immediate distribution of \$5,000.00 in full and final satisfaction of the claim in question. This mechanism is described more fully at pages iv-v and 26-27 of the Disclosure Statement. It is the Debtor and the Committee's belief that a significant number of claimants will choose to accept this

offer. To be clear, however, claimants have sole discretion to accept (or not) the \$5,000.00 settlement offer. Claim holders who wish to negotiate for a higher settlement amount or have their day in court may do so but must follow the procedures set forth in the Joint Plan.

The Disclosure Statement contains a user-friendly flow chart at Schedule 3 that summarizes the choices available to creditors.

- b. *The number of claims related to each of the following categories and the aggregate settlement amount for each: medical malpractice, employment, and contract breach.*

The answer to this request is contained within the other responses herein.

- c. *A list of all claims by creditors and the status of those claims.*

The claims register is available at our claims agent's website, here: <https://www.kccllc.net/tehum/register>. The Debtor has not yet begun to object to claims; instead, objections to claims will be the province of either the Personal Injury Trustee or the Liquidation Trustee under the Joint Plan, following the Joint Plan's effective date.

4. *Please provide a list of the entities and individuals that were involved in negotiating the global settlement filed September 29, 2023. In addition, please describe the role of Elizabeth Freeman in the negotiations, and list the individuals at YesCare and Tehum that were aware of Ms. Freeman's romantic relationship with Judge David Jones, who mediated the negotiations.*

The following entities and individuals attended the August 2023 mediation that resulted in the Global Settlement:

- The Debtor
 - The Debtor's Chief Restructuring Officer, Russell Perry of Ankura Consulting Group, and certain other Ankura representatives; and
 - Counsel to the Debtor, Gray Reed, through Jason Brookner, Amber Carson, Aaron Kaufman, and Lydia Webb.
- YesCare
 - Former counsel for YesCare Corp., Elizabeth Freeman;
 - [REDACTED]
- The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
 - The Committee members are: Rachell Garwood (as a representative of a putative class), Latricia Revell, St. Luke's Health System, Ltd., Capital Region Medical Center, Maxim Healthcare Staffing Serv., Inc., Saint Alphonsus Health System, Inc., and Truman Medical Center, Inc. The members attended the

- mediation virtually other than Committee Chair, David Barton, who attended the mediation in person;
 - Counsel to the Committee, Stinson LLP, through Nicholas Zluticky and Zachary Hemenway; and
 - Dundon Advisors as financial advisor to the Committee, through Matthew Dudon and Heather Barlow.
- M2 LoanCo, LLC; M2 Holdco, LLC; Perigrove 1018, LLC; Perigrove LLC; Geneva Consulting, LLC; and PharmaCorr, LLC
 - Melissa S. Hayward as counsel to each of the listed entities; and
 - Isaac Lefkowitz as a representative of each of the listed entities.

YesCare's involvement in the mediation (through its above-listed former counsel and business representatives) was minimal. YesCare merely provided information to the Debtor or Judge Jones upon request, and otherwise did not participate in any substantive mediation discussions. Further, none of the mediation parties were aware of Judge Jones's relationship with Ms. Freeman until after the filing of the initial Joint Plan on September 29, 2023.

The primary participants over the three days of hard-fought negotiations were: (a) the Committee, the Debtor, and their respective counsel, on one side; and (b) Mr. Lefkowitz and Ms. Hayward, for the other mediation parties, on the other side. YesCare's counsel did not represent Mr. Lefkowitz or the other Settlement Parties, which (as stated above) were represented by separate counsel. Judge Jones, as mediator, pushed both sides aggressively and eventually, the Global Settlement was agreed to by all parties. While disappointed by the lack of disclosure regarding their relationship, neither the Debtor nor the Committee believe that any potential conflict associated with the relationship between Judge Jones and Ms. Freeman impacted the negotiations or the Global Settlement in any way.⁷ Nevertheless, as discussed above, in the interest of removing any uncertainty, the parties have agreed to re-mediate all issues before Judge Sontchi. Neither Judge Jones nor Ms. Freeman will participate in the second mediation.

5. *With regard to Corizon's use of the divisional merger process to separate its assets from its liabilities:*

- a. ***What was the rationale for determining which assets it would transfer or assign to Tehum and which it would shield from the reach of creditors through YesCare?***

This is a matter appropriately addressed by YesCare.

⁷ See also Disclosure Statement at 19.

b. *What was the total value of Corizon's assets at the time of the divisional merger?*

As discussed above, before the transaction between the Flacks Group and Perigrove 1018 to transfer ownership of Corizon, management for the company was contemplating a chapter 11 bankruptcy filing. Although the company did not secure a formal valuation of its assets prior to this December 2021 transaction or the subsequent May 2022 Divisional Merger, the circumstances leading up to both transactions demonstrate that Corizon was likely insolvent by a significant margin.

As discussed in greater detail in the Disclosure Statement and above, the Flacks Group acquired Corizon as a distressed asset in June 2020. At the time of the Flacks Group's acquisition, the company was obligated to third party institutional lenders for over \$100 million on account of secured funded debt dating back to at least 2017.⁸ The Flacks Group failed to improve Corizon's financial position prior to December 2021, when it decided to transfer ownership to Perigrove 1018 rather than filing for bankruptcy. During this intervening period from June 2020 through December 2021, Corizon lost its three major customers and was facing increasing tort liabilities.

c. *Please list all of the assets that were transferred to YesCare/CHS TX, Inc. and their cumulative value (excluding any liens on the assets).*

YesCare is the proper part to address this inquiry.

d. *Please list all of the liabilities that were transferred to Corizon, later Tehum, and their cumulative value.*

The Divisional Merger documents are a matter of public record and were attached to the Debtor's Schedules of Assets in the Chapter 11 Case. As set forth therein, the following liabilities remained with the Debtor upon consummation of the Divisional Merger: (i) any lawsuits, claims, liabilities, costs, expenses or losses arising from, related to, or in connection with the contracts remaining at Corizon or the services provided thereunder whether arising prior to, at or after the effective date of the merger; (ii) any deferred payment obligations, lawsuits, claims, liabilities, costs, expenses or losses arising from, related to, or in connection with any employee, contractor or consultant terminated by any entity involved in the divisional merger prior to the merger's effective date, in each case, including severance and similar obligations, except for Corizon's obligations under the 401k plan or COBRA health insurance; (iii) obligations under any long term incentive plans of Corizon; (iv) any liabilities, costs, expenses or losses arising from, related to, or in connection with any person's or entity's lawsuits or claims in connection with the merger or related transactions, including any alleged breach of duties by the board or managers of any entity involved in the divisional merger; (v) all liabilities and obligations of every kind and character to the extent arising from, related to or in connection with assets of the remaining Corizon entity, whether arising before, at or after the effective date of the merger; (vi) all liabilities and obligations of every kind and character owed to any vendor or service provider in connection with any assets

⁸ See Disclosure Statement at 5.

of the remaining Corizon entity or YesCare, in each case, arising prior to the effective date of the merger; (vii) all liabilities of Corizon not allocated to YesCare; (viii) all liabilities and obligations under the AZ Policies and NewCo Insurance Policies for deductibles, retentions, premium adjustments, retroactively rated premiums or other self-insurance features incurred or paid on account of any liabilities or assets of the remaining Corizon entity; and (ix) any settlement payment obligations of Corizon relating to lawsuits, claims, liabilities, costs, expenses, relating to or in connection with the contracts remaining with Corizon.

6. Please list all of the assets that were transferred to affiliated entities other than YesCare/CHS TX, Inc. between December 1, 2021 and the date of Tehum's bankruptcy filing.

As detailed in Section III.B.2 of the Disclosure Statement (pages 15-17), the Debtor and the Committee identified approximately \$31 million in funds transferred to entities affiliated with Perigrove 1018 and/or YesCare prior to the bankruptcy filing:

Transfers to M2 LoanCo

12/29/2021	\$10,000,000.00
12/30/2021	\$5,000,000.00
1/4/2022	\$2,300,000.00
1/5/2022	\$600,000.00
1/31/2022	\$5,000,000.00
2/18/2022	\$600,000.00
3/8/2022	\$10,000,000.00
3/9/2022	(\$10,000,000.00)
5/17/2022	\$1,000,000.00
11/14/2022	\$25,572.19
11/14/2022	\$12,583.00
Total to M2 LoanCo	\$24,538,155.19

Transfers to Geneva Consulting

12/9/2021	\$3,000,000.00
1/11/2022	\$500,000.00
2/7/2022	\$500,000.00
3/1/2022	\$500,000.00
4/1/2022	\$500,000.00
5/2/2022	\$500,000.00
Total to Geneva	\$5,500,000.00

**Transfers to Amerisource Bergen to
Benefit PharmaCorr and Perigrove 1018 Related Parties**

In addition to the \$30 million identified above, the Debtor and the Committee identified additional sums, totaling approximately \$956,700, paid to Amerisource Bergen, which they believe may have satisfied obligations of PharmaCorr. PharmaCorr and Perigrove 1018 dispute this characterization.

1/31/2022	\$500,000.00
2/15/2022	\$456,707.08
Total to Amerisource Bergen	\$956,707.08

7. *What is the total value of YesCare's current assets?*

TCS is not the proper party to address this inquiry.

8. *What is the total value of Tehum's current assets? Please include a full accounting of any funding agreement, lump sum payment, or other revenue stream provided to Tehum following the divisional merger process.*

The only real assets the Debtor has are potential causes of action against third parties. As set forth at pages 14-21 of the Disclosure Statement, the Debtor and the Committee believe that the Global Settlement amount of \$37 million is a reasonable and appropriate settlement of such causes of action that is in the best interests of creditors. The Debtor also potentially has so-called "chapter 5 causes of action" against the Flacks Group and a variety of third parties for prepetition transfers that are not otherwise covered by the Global Settlement. These include causes of action for preferential transfers under section 547 of the Bankruptcy Code. These potential causes of action, which the Committee believes could generate up to an additional \$3 million in recoveries, will be transferred to the Liquidating Trust pursuant to the terms of the Joint Plan.

9. *Please describe in detail all actions taken to provide notice of Tehum's bankruptcy filing to known and potential creditors.*

The Debtor has provided all required notices to all required parties in interest pursuant to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules and the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of Texas. This includes mailing and publication notices of the claims bar date, proof claim forms, notice of the Disclosure Statement hearing and the time to object. The Master Service List in the Chapter 11 Case is available here: <https://www.kccllc.net/tehum/document/noticelist/1>

The Debtor provided *actual notice* of the claims bar date and proof of claim forms via mail to all known creditors. See Chapter 11 Case Docket Nos. 558, 609, 625, 626, 651, 673, 674, 698, 701, 767, 771, 794, 861, 929, 972, 1005. In addition to direct mailings to known creditors, the

Senators Warren, Durbin, Hirono, Merkley, Blumenthal,
Wyden, Sanders, Welch, and Booker
November 15, 2023
Page 15

Debtor also provided publication notices in the *New York Times*, the *Wall Street Journal* and *Prison Legal News*. See Chapter 11 Case Docket Nos. 610 and 658. The form, manner and timing of these notices was provided after consultation with the Committee.

Although the Disclosure Statement has not yet been approved by the Bankruptcy Court for dissemination to creditors, the Debtor intends to provide the required notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3017, and further proposes to give the same publication notice as outlined above. Moreover, although the Bankruptcy Code requires only 28-day notice to creditors before pursuing confirmation of a plan, the Debtor and the Committee are proposing to provide **60-day notice** to creditors so that all incarcerated persons and *pro se* claimants have an expanded time period to cast ballots and object to the Joint Plan.

Under the circumstances, we feel we have gone above and beyond to ensure that creditors have notice of the Chapter 11 Case and an opportunity to participate.

This response letter contains sensitive data and information—including confidential and potentially proprietary information, and information that was otherwise marked “Confidential” or “Attorneys Eyes Only” as part of discovery in the Chapter 11 Case. As a result, the Debtor respectfully requests that such information be treated accordingly, and that it not be released to any third parties. Production of this information and data is not intended to constitute a waiver of the attorney-client, work product, or any other applicable rights or privileges in this or any other forum, and the Debtor reserves all rights in this regard.

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to your inquiries. If you have any further questions, or if you desire any further information, please let us know and we will do our best to respond in a timely and complete manner.

Respectfully submitted,



Jason S. Brookner

JSB/sg
Encls

cc: Russell Perry (Chief Restructuring Officer)